

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/816,632	03/23/2001	David L. Turock	36203-00001	7103
27171 7	7590 03/10/2005		EXAMINER	
MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY & MCCLOY LLP 1 CHASE MANHATTAN PLAZA			SHANNON, MICHAEL R	
	NY 10005-1413		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2614	
			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	O
	09/816,632	TUROCK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael R Shannon	2614	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron te, cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communi ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 /	March 2001		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows		osecution as to the meri	ts is
closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 23 March 2001 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	a) accepted or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected or bijected or abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is old	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage	e
Attachment(s)	_		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D		
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		Patent Application (PTO-152)	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Page 7, line 19 refers to "Internet 390", which is understood as a typographical error and should be corrected to read "Internet 340".

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shoff et al (USPN 6,240,555), cited by examiner.

Regarding claim 12, the claimed "system for recording an auxiliary signal, synchronizing the auxiliary signal with a video signal, and transmitting the auxiliary signal over a telecommunications network" is met as follows:

 The claimed "first computer having means for recording the auxiliary signal" is met by the discussion of the creation and storage of supplemental content at the enhanced content server 52 [col. 5, lines 12-18]. Application/Control Number: 09/816,632

Art Unit: 2614

Page 3

- The claimed "first computer having... means for receiving the video signal" is met by the head end and the inherent teaching of a receiver for receiving and serving continuous media to and from the head end and the enhanced content server 52 [col. 5, lines 12-18].
- The claimed "first computer having... means for deriving a synchronizing signal from the video signal" is met by the inherent teaching of the clock signal deriver during the discussion of the timing information of a video being used to synchronize presentations [col. 10, lines 7-17].
- The claimed, "first computer having... means for transmitting the auxiliary signal over the telecommunications network" is met by the inherent interface for connection to the first network 74 [col. 7, lines 19-23].
- The claimed "second computer having means for receiving the auxiliary signal and the synchronizing signal from the telecommunications network" is met by the inherent interface connection at the at least one receiver [col. 8, lines 7-10], the digital data for synchronization then being transmitted with the supplemental data to the receiver [col. 10, lines 7-21].
- The claimed "second computer having... means for receiving the video signal" is met by the teaching of the "two receivers, for receiving the video stream from the head end and the digital supplemental data from the head end or ISP" [col. 8, lines 8-12].
- The claimed "second computer having... means for synchronizing the auxiliary signal and the synchronizing signal with the video signal to form

Art Unit: 2614

an integrated combined signal" is met by the inherent teaching of the comparator during the discussion of the timing information of a video being used to synchronize presentations and coordinate the supplemental content [col. 10, lines 7-17] after the transmission to the receiver.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-11 and 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shoff et al (USPN 6,240,555), cited by examiner, in view of Silver (USPN 5,387,943), cited by examiner.

Regarding claim 1, the claimed "system for recording an auxiliary signal, synchronizing the auxiliary signal with a video signal, and transmitting the auxiliary signal over a telecommunications network" is met as follows:

The Shoff reference teaches all of the following:

The claimed "first video signal receiver" is met by the head end and the
inherent teaching of a receiver for receiving and serving continuous media
to and from the head end and the enhanced content server 52 [col. 5,
lines 12-18].

server 52 [col. 5, lines 12-18].

• The claimed "auxiliary signal recorder" is met by the discussion of the creation and storage of supplemental content at the enhanced content

- The claimed, "first telecommunications network interface" is met by the inherent interface for connection to the first network 74 [col. 7, lines 19-23].
- The claimed "second telecommunications network interface" is met by the inherent interface connection at the at least one receiver [col. 8, lines 7-10].

The Shoff reference does not disclose the signal comparator or the video signal buffer. The Silver reference, however, discloses the following:

- The claimed "signal comparator" is met by the correlation processor 16
   [col. 2, lines 24-28].
- The claimed "video signal buffer" is met by the frame buffer 18 [col. 2, lines 24-28].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize a signal comparator and a frame buffer, in order to allow for an easy, standard, and straightforward way to synchronize supplementary data and primary video data.

Regarding claim 2, the claimed "first computer and a second computer" are met by the Shoff reference, wherein he teaches a transmitting computer (which stores and transmits video content or supplemental content) [Item 22, Fig. 4] and a receiving computer (which receives the video content and supplemental content, from either one source or two sources) [Item 68, Fig. 4].

Regarding claim 3, the claimed system is met as follows:

The Shoff reference teaches all of the following:

- The claimed "first video signal receiver" in the "first computer" is met by
  the head end and the inherent teaching of a receiver for receiving and
  serving continuous media to and from the head end and the enhanced
  content server 52 [col. 5, lines 12-18].
- The claimed "auxiliary signal recorder" in the "first computer" is met by the discussion of the creation and storage of supplemental content at the enhanced content server 52 [col. 5, lines 12-18].
- The claimed, "first telecommunications network interface" in the "first computer" is met by the inherent interface for connection to the first network 74 [col. 7, lines 19-23].
- The claimed "second telecommunications network interface" in the "second computer" is met by the inherent interface connection at the at least one receiver [col. 8, lines 7-10].

The Shoff reference does not disclose the signal comparator or the video signal buffer in the "first computer". The Silver reference, however, discloses the following:

Application/Control Number: 09/816,632

Art Unit: 2614

 The claimed "signal comparator" in the "first computer" is met by the correlation processor 16 [col. 2, lines 24-28].

Page 7

 The claimed "video signal buffer" in the "first computer" is met by the frame buffer 18 [col. 2, lines 24-28].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize a signal comparator and a frame buffer in the "first computer", in order to allow for an easy, standard, and straight-forward way to synchronize supplementary data and primary video data prior to transmission to the client/receiver device.

Regarding claim 4, the claimed system is met as follows:

The Shoff reference teaches all of the following:

- The claimed "first video signal receiver" in the "first computer" is met by the head end and the inherent teaching of a receiver for receiving and serving continuous media to and from the head end and the enhanced content server 52 [col. 5, lines 12-18].
- The claimed "auxiliary signal recorder" in the "first computer" is met by the discussion of the creation and storage of supplemental content at the enhanced content server 52 [col. 5, lines 12-18].
- The claimed, "first telecommunications network interface" in the "first computer" is met by the inherent interface for connection to the first network 74 [col. 7, lines 19-23].

Art Unit: 2614

 The claimed "second telecommunications network interface" in the "second computer" is met by the inherent interface connection at the at least one receiver [col. 8, lines 7-10].

The claimed "second video signal receiver" in the "second computer" is
met by the teaching of the "two receivers, for receiving the video stream
from the head end and the digital supplemental data from the head end or
ISP" [col. 8, lines 8-12].

The Shoff reference does not disclose the signal comparator or the video signal buffer in the "second computer". The Silver reference, however, discloses the following:

- The claimed "signal comparator" in the "second computer" is met by the correlation processor 16 [col. 2, lines 24-28].
- The claimed "video signal buffer" in the "second computer" is met by the frame buffer 18 [col. 2, lines 24-28].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize a signal comparator and a frame buffer in the "second computer", in order to allow for an easy, standard, and straight-forward way to synchronize supplementary data and primary video data after reception of the two signals at the client/receiver device.

Regarding claim 5, the claimed "auxiliary signal include[ing] an audio signal" is met by the discussion (in the Shoff reference) of the supplemental content being text, graphics, video, picture, sound, or other multimedia types [col. 5, lines 15-18].

Regarding claim 6, the claimed "auxiliary signal include[ing] a video signal" is met by the discussion (in the Shoff reference) of the supplemental content being text, graphics, video, picture, sound, or other multimedia types [col. 5, lines 15-18].

Regarding claim 7, the Shoff and Silver references disclose all of that which is discussed above with regards to claim 2. The claimed "video signal speed controller" is not met by the Shoff reference. The Silver reference, however, teaches a Video Delay 12, which is used to control the speed and delay of the video signal for synchronization [col. 2, line 66 – col. 3, line 6]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize a video signal speed controller in one of the two computers, in order to allow the video to be delayed and/or the speed modified to allow the video and supplemental data to be synchronized properly.

Regarding claim 8, the claimed "image size controller" is met in the Shoff reference, by the inherent teaching of the size controller during the discussion of the processor scaling of video data for display within the program boundary according to supplemental data display layout [col. 10, lines 37-43].

Regarding claim 9, the claimed "video signal clock signal deriver" is met in the Shoff reference, by the inherent teaching of the clock signal deriver during the discussion of the timing information of a video being used to synchronize presentations [col. 10, lines 7-17].

Regarding claim 10, the claimed "Internet interface" is met in the Shoff reference, wherein the Internet is disclosed as a possible distribution network of the supplemental data [col. 7, lines 26-35].

Regarding claim 11, the claimed "clock signal comparator" is met in the Shoff reference, by the inherent teaching of the comparator during the discussion of the timing information of a video being used to synchronize presentations and coordinate the supplemental content [col. 10, lines 7-17].

Regarding claim 13, the claimed "method for recording an auxiliary signal, synchronizing the auxiliary signal with a video signal, and transmitting the auxiliary signal over a telecommunication network" is met as follows:

The Shoff reference teaches all of the following:

The claimed step of "receiving the video signal" is met by the head end
and the inherent teaching of a receiver for receiving and serving
continuous media to and from the head end and the enhanced content
server 52 [col. 5, lines 12-18].

Art Unit: 2614

• The claimed step of "generating the auxiliary signal, the auxiliary signal derived at least in part from said video signal" is met by the discussion of the creation and storage of supplemental content at the enhanced content server 52 [col. 5, lines 12-18], and the fact that the supplemental content is derived at least in part from the video signal is met by the fact that the supplemental content is said to be "related to the program" [col. 5, line 19].

- The claimed step of "transmitting the auxiliary signal over the
  telecommunications network" is met by network 74, which serves to send
  auxiliary data from the head end or other ISP to the receiver [col. 7, lines
  19-23].
- The claimed step of "receiving the auxiliary signal" is met by the teaching
  of the "two receivers, for receiving the video stream from the head end
  and the digital supplemental data from the head end or ISP" [col. 8, lines
  8-12].

The claimed steps of "delaying the video signal as a function of said auxiliary signal, and synchronizing the video signal with the auxiliary signal" are not met by the Shoff reference. The Silver reference, however, discloses the following:

The claimed step of "delaying the video signal as a function of said auxiliary signal" is met by the fact that the video signal can be delayed in the Video Delay Circuit 12 as a function of the Audio (Auxiliary signal) through the use of the correlation processor 16 [col. 2, line 66 – col. 3, line 6].

Art Unit: 2614

The claimed step of "synchronizing the video signal with the auxiliary signal" is met by the correlation processor 16 and it's ability to control the delay circuits 12 and 14, in order to synchronize the audio and video [col. 2, line 66 – col. 3, line 6].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to delay the video signal to synchronize the supplemental signal and the video signal, in order to allow the video signal to be delayed and the correct synchronization to take place before display to the user.

Regarding claim 14, the claimed "method" is met as follows:

The Shoff reference teaches all of the following:

- The claimed "video signal receiving" step being performed with a first computer is met by the head end and the inherent teaching of a receiver for receiving and serving continuous media to and from the head end and the enhanced content server 52 [col. 5, lines 12-18].
- The claimed "auxiliary signal generating" step being performed with a first computer is met by the discussion of the creation and storage of supplemental content at the enhanced content server 52 [col. 5, lines 12-18].
- The claimed, "auxiliary signal transmitting" step being performed with a
  first computer is met by the inherent interface for connection and
  transmission of auxiliary signals to the first network 74 [col. 7, lines 19-23].

 The claimed "auxiliary signal receiving step" being performed with a second computer is met by the inherent interface connection at the at least one receiver [col. 8, lines 7-10].

The Shoff reference does not disclose the video signal delaying step or the synchronizing step being performed with a first computer. The Silver reference, however, discloses the following:

- The claimed "video signal delaying" step being performed with a first computer is met by the correlation processor 16 [col. 2, lines 24-28], and it's ability to delay the video signal as a function of the supplementary audio signal.
- The claimed "synchronizing" step being performed with a first computer is
  met by the correlation processor 16 [col. 2, lines 24-28], and it's ability to
  delay the video signal as a function of the supplementary audio signal in
  order to synchronize the video with the supplemental information (audio).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize a video signal delaying step and a synchronizing step in the first computer, in order to allow for an easy, standard, and straight-forward way to synchronize supplementary data and primary video data prior to transmission to the client/receiver device.

Regarding claim 15, the claimed "method" is met as follows:

The Shoff reference teaches all of the following:

Application/Control Number: 09/816,632

Art Unit: 2614

 The claimed "video signal receiving" step being performed with a first computer is met by the head end and the inherent teaching of a receiver for receiving and serving continuous media to and from the head end and the enhanced content server 52 [col. 5, lines 12-18].

Page 14

- The claimed "auxiliary signal generating" step being performed with a first computer is met by the discussion of the creation and storage of supplemental content at the enhanced content server 52 [col. 5, lines 12-18].
- The claimed, "auxiliary signal transmitting" step being performed with a
  first computer is met by the inherent interface for connection and
  transmission of auxiliary signals to the first network 74 [col. 7, lines 19-23].
- The claimed "auxiliary signal receiving step" being performed with a second computer is met by the inherent interface connection at the at least one receiver [col. 8, lines 7-10].

The Shoff reference does not disclose the video signal delaying step or the synchronizing step being performed with a second computer. The Silver reference, however, discloses the following:

 The claimed "video signal delaying" step being performed with a second computer is met by the correlation processor 16 [col. 2, lines 24-28], and it's ability to delay the video signal as a function of the supplementary audio signal. Art Unit: 2614

 The claimed "synchronizing" step being performed with a second computer is met by the correlation processor 16 [col. 2, lines 24-28], and it's ability to delay the video signal as a function of the supplementary audio signal in order to synchronize the video with the supplemental information (audio).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize a video signal delaying step and a synchronizing step in the second computer, in order to allow for an easy, standard, and straight-forward way to synchronize supplementary data and primary video data after reception at the client/receiver device.

Regarding claim 16, the claimed "step of receiving the video signal using the said second computer" is met by the teaching of the "two receivers, for receiving the video stream from the head end and the digital supplemental data from the head end or ISP" [col. 8, lines 8-12].

Regarding claim 17, the claimed "generating step further includes deriving a first synchronizing signal from the video signal" is met by the program start time being extracted from the video signal [col. 10, lines 7-17].

Regarding claim 18, the claimed "delaying step further includes deriving a second synchronizing signal from the video signal and comparing said first and second

synchronizing signals" is met by the careful measurement of time from that last start time in order to synchronize the presentation [col. 10, lines 7-17]. While the Shoff reference does not explicitly disclose the used of a delay circuit to enable this synchronization, the Silver reference does with Video Delay 12, which delays the video as a function of the supplementary signal. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize a delay circuit, in order to allow for an easy, standard, and straightforward way to synchronize supplementary data and primary video data.

Regarding claim 19, the claimed "auxiliary signal [being] an audio signal" is met by the discussion (in the Shoff reference) of the supplemental content being text, graphics, video, picture, sound, or other multimedia types [col. 5, lines 15-18].

Regarding claim 20, the claimed "step of playing said synchronized video and auxiliary signals using a computer" is met by the discussion of the supplemental content being displayed on the computer screen, synchronized with the video program [col. 10, lines 55-58].

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2614

Watts et al (USPN 6,324,694) disclose a system for synchronizing subsidiary data with primary content.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael R. Shannon whose telephone number is (571) 272-7356. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday 8:00 AM – 5:00PM, with alternate Friday's off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller, can be reached at (571) 272-7353.

### Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Please address mail to be delivered by the United States Postal Service (USPS) as follows:

Mail Stop \_\_\_\_\_ Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Effective January 14, 2005, except correspondence for Maintenance Fee payments, Deposit Account Replenishments (see 1.25(c)(4)), and Licensing and Review (see 37 CFR 5.1(c) and 5.2(c)), please address correspondence to be delivered by other delivery services (Federal Express (Fed Ex), UPS, DHL, Laser, Action, Purolater, etc.) as follows:

United States Patent and Trademark Office Customer Service Window Randolph Building Art Unit: 2614

401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Some correspondence may be submitted electronically. See the Office's Internet Web site http://www.uspto.gov for additional information.

Or faxed to: (703) 872-9306

# Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Knox Building 501 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to customer service whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Michael R Shannon Examiner Art Unit 2614

Michael R Shannon February 23, 2005

> JOHN MILLER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600